

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/645,347	08/21/2003	Atsushi Koide	AK-421XX 6740			
207	7590 06/04/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	RTEN, SCHURGIN, G.	LIN, ING HOUR				
TEN POST BOSTON,	OFFICE SQUARE MA 02109	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,			1725			
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application I	ło.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/645,347		KOIDE ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ing-Hour Lin		1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ Res	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 August 2003.								
2a)∏ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
•	·								
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 									
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notice of D 3) Informatio	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	te	O-152)				

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawamura et al. Kawamura et al (col. 2, lines 58+) teach the claimed composite metal product of a graphite-type crystal (carbon nano material) and a low melting metal, comprising a preliminarily molded porous member of the carbon nano material and the low melting metal including Mg, Al (col. 6, lines 38+) and alloys of each metal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Art Unit: 1725

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawamura et al in view of Dwivedi et al.

Kawamura et al fail to teach the use of degreasing. However, Dwivedi et al (col. 19, lines 61+) teach the use of degreasing the preliminarily molded member(preform) for the purpose of removing at least one low temperature organic resin binder and at least partial setting the least one high temperature binder. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Kawamura et al the use of degreasing the preliminarily molded member(preform) as taught by Dwivedi et al in order to remove at least one low temperature organic binder and at least partial set the least one high temperature binder and control the porosity in the preliminarily molded member(preform).

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawamura et al in view of Dwivedi et al and further in view of Koide et al.

Kawamura et al in view of Dwivedi et al fails to teach the use of a screw type preplasticizing injection machine. However, Koide et al (col. 2, lines 59+) teach the use a screw type preplasticizing injection machine for the purpose of effectively producing a preform containing melted resin. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to

Art Unit: 1725

provide Kawamura et al in view of Dwivedi et a screw type preplasticizing injection machine as taught by Koide et al in order to effectively producing a preform containing melted resin.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ing-Hour Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1180. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

4.XX.

Kiley Stoner Ay 1725 Why Stone 6/1/04

I.-H.L

05-27-04